

# Working Group 2 Assessment of Individual Academics





#### Members

Adina Feldman	Karolinska Institutet	David Dellstig	Vetenskapsrådet
Jonas Häckner	Stockholms universitet	Jessica Lindvall	SciLifeLab/NBIS
Malin Ideland	Malmö universitet	Marta Cocos	Uppsala universitet
Stina Bengtsson	Södertörns högskola	Bengt-Gunnar Jonsson	Mittuniversitetet
Tomas Strömberg	Linköpings universitet	Johanna Bergman	Högskolan Kristianstad
Ronnie Berntsson	Umeå universitet	Ruth Pöttgen	Lunds universitet
Sofia Andersson	Karlstads universitet	Joanna Sendecka	Uppsala universitet



### Scope and aims

- Scope of "Assessment of Individual Academics"
  - Individuals involved in research and teaching in the higher education sector
  - > Including doctoral students
  - ➤ All situations that involve assessment of the individual, including grants, recruitment, promotion

- Aims
- 1. To identify specific conditions and considerations for assessment of individual academics in the Swedish context
- 2. To propose interpretation and guidance related to the relevant commitments in the CoARA Agreement for the Swedish context
- 3. To create a community and networking opportunities that enable peer support for implementation of relevant commitments in the CoARA Agreement in Sweden
- 4. To engage in dialogue with relevant stakeholders on a national and international level for the purposes of knowledge exchange and dissemination



## Report from the past year

- Mapping of relevant activities
  - ➤ NOR-CAM and FIN-CAM
  - The CoARA Research Assessment Reform Library
  - CoARA Working Groups, incl. WG on Reforming Academic Assessment, WG on Reforming Practices in the Assessment of Research Proposals
  - ➤ SUHF Steering, Guidance and Policies

- Webinar with invited speakers from the Dutch research council NWO in September 2025 on "Narrative CV's"
  - ➤ A new structured way of reporting outputs (limited to a specific number, e.g. 10) with quality indicators instead of complete publication lists in applications
  - ➤ Move away from quantitative indicators

2025-12-05



## Main learnings and take-aways so far

- WG2 was very inspired by the webinar with NWO
  - ➤ The CV is central to assessment of individuals
  - There are many stakeholders involved and potential perspectives to be explored, such as reviewer, applicant and institution
- The ideas and principles that "Narrative CV's" are based on are already implemented to some degree in the Swedish context
  - ➤ Common to require listing a selection of publications with brief motivations in addition to complete publication lists

- We will focus on the topic of "Narrative CV's"
  - ➤ In line with our scope
  - ➤ Good complement to the recommendations in the recently published SUHF Ramverk för Meritbedömningar
  - ➤ Potential to help Swedish funders and HEI's to navigate and reform of research assessment of individuals





#### Deliverables by end of 2026

- The aim is to deliver a report and recommendations with regards to "Narrative CV's" in the Swedish context
  - ➤ Gather impressions, experiences and data where available
  - ➤ Plan to propose recommendations based on current best practice

- During 2026 we plan to engage in dialogue with
  - ➤ International funders and other stakeholders with experience of "Narrative CV's" incl. UKRI
  - Swedish funders, both independent and public
  - ➤ Swedish HEI's





#### → Menti questions

- Multiple choice question:
- What do you think will be the greatest challenge in implementing "Narrative CV's"?
  - ➤ Resistance among applicants (e.g. new CV form to fill in for every application)
  - ➤ Resistance among reviewers (e.g. no quantitatve indicators, limited outputs)
  - ➤ Resistance among institutions (e.g. resource intensive reforms)
  - ➤ Technical hindrances (e.g. new application portals)

- Open question
- What value or purpose do you think can be achieved with "Narrative CV's"?

