

BACKGROUND OF THE ENQA REVIEW

- A verification that UKÄ acts in substantial compliance with the European Standards and Guidelines (ESG)
- Part of ENQA's membership criteria and of the Bologna Process, including EQAR registration
- The earlier Swedish agency was a full member of ENQA between 2000 and 2012
- The review of UKÄ took place as a "first external review", because of the many changes since 2012
- Panel with four members on a virtual site visit to UKÄ in September/October 2020.

MAIN FINDINGS IN THE REVIEW

Stakeholders, including students, feel strongly involved

Robust quality assurance framework

Broadly recognised and respected by institutions and stakeholders

Solid internal quality assurance policy

Some procedures and mechanisms are currently missing

High workload on the HEIs

Bureaucratic and complex processes

PANEL COMMENDATIONS TO UKÄ

✓ Great involvement of students.



✓ Richness of documents supporting UKÄ's internal quality assurance and professional conduct.

✓ Broad involvement of stakeholders to ensure fitness for purpose in its quality assurance activities.

FOUR ESGs WITHOUT FULL COMPLIANCE (I)





- The panel recommends that UKÄ should take measures to further <u>safeguard</u> its <u>capacity</u> to independently <u>design</u> its <u>methodologies</u> in external quality assurance.
- ESG 2.2 Designing methodologies fit for purpose



The panel recommends UKÄ to go further in reducing the HEIs' workload in its quality assurance activities. In doing so, UKÄ should consider to which extent the different activities can be integrated or complement each other better.

FOUR ESGs WITHOUT FULL COMPLIANCE (II)



- ESG 2.3 Implementing processes
 - The panel recommends UKÄ to establish a <u>pre-defined follow-up mechanism</u> for programmes with a positive assessment in the appraisals of degree awarding powers aiming at supporting these programmes in maintaining high quality.
 - The panel also recommends UKÄ to more clearly define the follow-up procedures for those institutions and programmes that have received a positive assessment in the institutional reviews and in the programme evaluations.
- ESG 2.7 Complaints and appeals



- The panel recommends the agency to <u>establish a complaints procedure</u>. The procedure should be made known to all concerned parties.
- The panel recommends to <u>extend the powers of the appeals committee</u> to make recommendations to UKÄ on how to correct errors in quality assurance procedures that potentially can have affected the assessment outcome.

OTHER SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER DEVELOPMENT

- Enhancing the <u>international composition of the Advisory Board</u> in order to provide innovative insights.
- Carrying out more thematic analyses through better cooperation between the various departments at UKÄ.
- Preparing for <u>appropriate reactions</u> should staff again report a <u>high workload</u> or should staff turnover rise again.
- Selecting more international assessors from outside the Nordic region to be involved in the panels.
- Striving for a set of generic criteria for the thematic evaluations, that can be applied to several themes.
- Considering integrating the thematic evaluations into the institutional reviews.
- Ameliorating the agency's website in order to make the reports even more easily accessible by the stakeholders.

Frågor/diskussion/reflektion

Tack så mycket!